

 **HARVARD**
School of Dental Medicine



GLOBAL HEALTH STARTER KIT FOR DENTAL EDUCATION

Module 4: Social Determinants and Risks

Authors:

Brittany Seymour, DDS, MPH Assistant Professor Oral Health Policy and Epidemiology Office of Global and Community Health Harvard School of Dental Medicine	Karen Sokal-Gutierrez MD, MPH Clinical Professor Pediatrics UC Berkeley-UCSF Joint Medical Program
Jennifer Kasper, MD, MPH Assistant Professor Department of Social Medicine Harvard Medical School Chair, Global and Community Health Committee HMS Scholars in Medicine	



Hello and welcome to Module 4 of the Global Health Starter Kit Social Determinants and Risks, co authored with Jen Kasper and Karen Sokul-Gutierrez.

This module introduces concepts of social and societal determinants of health, vulnerable populations, the Bottom Billion, and the sociocultural and biomedical models for health.

While there are numerous resources and references available about these topics, for the purpose of this module, we have curated a small sample of high quality resources to support the learning outcomes. We encourage you to explore the literature further, beyond what is contained in this module.



Competencies:

- 1.2.1. Identify and describe common risk factors of oral diseases.
- 1.2.2. Identify and describe common (social) determinants of oral disease.
- 2.1.2. Understand and apply health promotion and risk reduction strategies (such as health eating, cessation of tobacco, and reduction of harmful alcohol use).
- 2.1.5. Identify patient populations at increased risk for oral diseases and ensure regular attendance through oral health professionals.
- 2.2.3. Identify barriers to access and use of health and oral health services (e.g., affordability, lack of insurance or providers, cultural and geographic issues); facilitate solutions to overcome them.
- 2.3.1. Advocate for relevant strategies to prevent and reduce risk factors based on an advocacy strategy to identify, mobilize, and connect relevant stakeholders/actors.

This module is related to the competencies shown here from the Global Oral Health Competency Matrix. While these competencies cannot be met through a single teaching module, this module is working toward competency-based best practices in global health for dental education.

From:

Benzian, H., Greenspan, J.S., Barrow, J., Hutter, J.W., Loomer, P.M., Stauf, N. and Perry, D.A., 2015. A competency matrix for global oral health. Journal of dental education, 79(4), pp.353-361

Seymour B, Shick E, Chaffee B, Benzian H. Going global: toward competency-based best practices for global health in dental education. J. Dent. Educ. 2017;18(6):707-15.



Learning Objectives

By the end of this module, students should be able to do the following:

- Define the social determinants of health
- Describe the social gradient in health
- Explain the concept of the 'bottom billion,' their indicators and characteristics
- Define the Biomedical Model and Sociocultural Model for health and discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each

For Module 4, we aim to meet the following learning objectives:

What causes a cavity?



Let's begin with a fairly straightforward question:


You probably have a variety of ways you could answer this

What causes a cavity?




Let's review the classic formula for a cavity: Bacteria

What causes a cavity?




Plus Sugar

What causes a cavity?




Plus a susceptible tooth

What causes a cavity?




Plus time...




And ouch! You get a cavity...
This formula sounds familiar, right?

In the classic definition, a susceptible tooth is defined as a tooth without any protective factors in place, such as community water fluoridation or sealants for example. Other examples of a susceptible tooth could be a tooth with a poorly contoured restoration or open margins, or unusual anatomical features that can become plaque traps.



In this module, we are going to expand this definition of 'susceptible tooth' and work to more thoroughly answer the question, "What is a susceptible tooth?"

There are many, many other determinants and risk factors for cavities, well beyond what's captured in this formula. And today, we know factors that make a tooth susceptible extend well beyond just the physiology of the tooth and the immediately oral environment. We'll spend the rest of this module identifying all the aspects in a person's life that make them, and their teeth, more susceptible to cavities, and health problems more broadly.



We met Dr. Tooth the dentist in Module 2, and we explored all the components of a health system needed for Dr. Tooth to be able to practice dentistry and treat the patients who need care.

Refresher:

Components of a health system:

- Leadership and governance
 - Regulation, licensure, scope of practice, oral health policies (e.g. not just anyone can provide dental care, but Dr. Tooth can under a legal license to practice)
- Health information
 - Disease burden and health needs
 - Patient/provider ratios
 - Health information technology
- Health financing and payment
 - Funding for provider education and training
 - Funding for health care services, supplies, and equipment
 - Insurance for citizens, including financing
 - Payment for health care services, supplies, and equipment
- Health care workforce
 - Providers and staff, adequately trained
 - Norms and values for delivering care

- Coordination between providers, payers, and patients
- Supplies, equipment, and technology
 - Dental supplies and equipment
 - Office/clinic space
 - Dental records
 - Supply delivery system
 - Prescription medicines
- Service delivery
 - Patient access to care
 - Quality of care, ethical care

Warm-Up: Meet Joe




For today's warm up, we are going to meet a person from the community.

Meet Joe. Joe can be anyone, any gender, any age, any income level, living anywhere in the world.

But there's a problem...




Poor Joe, Joe has a toothache, a bad one...




What should Joe do?

Like most, you are probably thinking, "Go to the dentist!" And yes, Joe needs to see a dentist, let's pretend Dr. Tooth from Module 2 could be his dentist...



But there are many many things that need to happen waaaay before Joe can sit down in Dr. Tooth's dental chair. Imagine this is a timeline. And I put Dr. Tooth, Joe's dentist, at the end of this imaginary timeline.


What needs to happen for Joe to see Dr. Tooth...you might be thinking he needs to get there, or have a way to pay for it. Or you might be thinking Joe needs to know it's important to see a dentist for a toothache...if that's what you were thinking, you are on the right track.



First, before anything else can happen, Joe needs to *decide* to go to the dentist. And it's not even that simple. How does Joe make this decision, what factors influence this decision?


- 1) Deciding to seek appropriate care
 - Cultural beliefs
 - Health/oral health literacy
 - Ability to take time off to go
 - Recognizing a need to go
 - Other pressing priorities
 - Finances

So, Joe has overcome these potential challenges and has successfully made the decision to go. Now what???



Next, Joe needs to be able to reach an appropriate facility, like Dr. Tooth's office. So what impacts Joe's ability to do this?

- 2) Reaching an appropriate facility
 - Rural, no facility nearby
 - Inadequate roads,
 - No transportation
 - Bad weather
 - Finances




Ok, so let' say Joe overcame all the barriers in place that affect making the decision to seek care. Then, Joe overcame all the challenges in reaching a place to get treatment. So, now, here we are at the dental office. There are still many factors that influence Joe's ability to receive care.

- 3) Receiving appropriate care
- No provider available or lack of a competent provider
 - Language barriers
 - Inadequate supplies/equipment
 - Can't pay for care


These three categories of barriers are known as The Three Delays to receiving the appropriate, quality care Joe needs to get well: Delay 1) Deciding to seek appropriate care, Delay 2) Reaching an appropriate facility, and Delay 3) Receiving appropriate, quality care. The three delays were originally described for pregnant women getting ready to give birth and examining reasons for high maternal mortality in Haiti. Even though they are not actually about oral health care, the themes and determinants described in the three delays model certainly apply to us as dental providers and our patients. I encourage you to learn more about the three delays on your own after this module.

What I find so compelling about The Three Delays is they describe barriers to care

that are out of Joe's control, barriers that have nothing to do with Joe's own behaviors necessarily. Many are just plain due to bad luck or the circumstances Joe was born into...For example, did you know in the United States, your zip code is a determinant of your life expectancy? In other words, we are likely to live longer, or die at an earlier age, depending on which county we were born in. It doesn't really seem fair does it???



As we are learning from Joe, it turns out that individuals, children, and families can have dramatically different health outcomes, such as life expectancies, depending on where they live in the world, and where they are born, like the US zip code example. Children born in Japan might live to be 84 years or older, where children born in Sierra Leone have a life expectancy of only 46 years. These drastic differences are attributed to things like where a person lives, their social, physical, employment, and economic circumstances, and their access to basic needs and services. These factors are known as the social determinants of health. These determinants affect oral health as well. Many of the obstacles to oral care Joe experiences in the previous exercise are linked, either directly or indirectly, to the social determinants of health.



One of the strongest predictors of health outcomes, including oral health outcomes, is poverty. As a person's income rises, oral disease burden falls. This is known as the social gradient in health.

This graphic is a simple visual to demonstrate the social gradient. It should be noted that this gradient exists on an individual level, but may not hold true at the community or population level. For example, some low income countries have a lower oral disease burden on average than some middle income countries- this may be in part because middle income countries have the largest proportion of people living in extreme poverty today [see next slides]. Studies have also shown a negative relationship between income and oral health due to a number of factors such as geography and access to junk food. The details and nuance of this relationship are out of the scope of this module. The take home message for this slide is that one of the strongest predictors of poor oral health outcomes in general is poverty.

Reference:

Watt RG. Social determinants of oral health inequalities: implications for action. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2012; 40 (Suppl. 2): 44–48.

The Bottom Billion



Average life expectancy: 50 yrs. (vs. 67)

Proportion of children who die before their 1st birthday is 14% (vs. 4%)

Proportion of children with symptoms of chronic malnutrition is 36% (vs. 20%)

Small countries (58 countries with combined populations smaller than India or China)

In September 2000, world leaders convened for the United Nations Millennium Summit at the UN headquarters in New York City. The resulting document, the Millennium Declaration, resolved that leading into the new millennium, a major challenge for global development was the needs of developing countries and emerging economies. It declared that we have a collective responsibility to uphold human dignity, equity, and equality. The declaration set forth concrete measurable objectives for achieving those outcomes, with a strong focus on reducing poverty. These became the Millennium Development Goals (MDG). The Millennium Development Goals led to dramatic improvements in health around the world. These goals are discussed in more detail in Module 2.

As the world began to make significant progress in achieving the MDGs, a problematic observation was made: Of the roughly 6.5 billion people in the world during that time, most were experiencing at least some benefits of global progress in some form, except for the poorest billion people in the world. We were not seeing the expected improvements in this population. They became known as the bottom billion, the billion people in the world living in extreme poverty and not experiencing the same rates of progress as the rest of the world's population. Health indicators of the 'bottom billion' were significantly worse than global averages.

Reference:

United Nations. Past conference. Millennium Summit (6-8 September 2000).

Accessed on January 22, 2018 at:


http://www.un.org/en/events/pastevents/millennium_summit.shtml

United Nations General Assembly. United Nations Millennium Declaration. Resolution A/RES/55/2. New York: United Nations, September 8, 2000.

Accessed on January 22, 2018 at:

http://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/55/2

Collier, Paul. *The bottom billion: why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it*. Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2007



In the early 2000s, over 90% of the ‘bottom billion’ were in low income countries, most in the continent of Africa, which is why they were often referred to as “Africa+.”

(Extreme poverty was defined by the World Bank as living on less than \$1USD per day in 1990, then \$1.25 in 2005, now \$1.90 today.)

References:

Sumner A. Global Poverty and the New Bottom Billion: What if Three-Quarters of the World’s Poor Live in Middle-Income Countries? Institute of Developmental Studies. September 2010;1-43.

Institute of Developmental Studies. The new bottom billion and the MDGs- A plan of action. IDS In Focus Policy Briefing. October 2010.


World bank blog: <http://blogs.worldbank.org/developmenttalk/international-poverty-line-has-just-been-raised-190-day-global-poverty-basically-unchanged-how-even>

Collier, Paul. *The bottom billion: why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it*. Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2007

Graphic source:

ChartsBin statistics collector team 2016, *Country Income Groups (World Bank*

Classification), ChartsBin.com, viewed 8th February, 2018,
<<http://chartsbin.com/view/2438>>.



With continued focus on the world's poorest regions, we began to see an interesting shift in the bottom billion. Today, $\frac{3}{4}$ of them now live in middle income countries. These changes have implications for aid to developing countries, where many funders and programs continue to focus on the lowest income countries in the world. Questions have arisen about how to address the disparities within countries, many of which have significant proportions of people living in extreme poverty but are also growing economically and are even becoming donor countries themselves. What does this mean for resource distribution, health equity, and development assistance for health? And what about dental outreach and international volunteering? That's discussed in great detail in Module 5- Ethics and Sustainability.

Reference:

Sumner A. Global Poverty and the New Bottom Billion: What if Three-Quarters of the World's Poor Live in Middle-Income Countries? Institute of Developmental Studies. September 2010;1-43.

Graphic Source:

Institute of Developmental Studies. The new bottom billion and the MDGs- A plan of action. IDS In Focus Policy Briefing. October 2010. (Graphic in the report courtesy *The Guardian* www.guardian.co.uk/global-development).

The New Bottom Billion



A renewed focus on PEOPLE instead of LOCATIONS

It is becoming apparent that focusing on lower income countries alone will no longer address global poverty, particularly extreme poverty, and subsequent poor health outcomes. Thus, there has been a call to action to shift this focus from places to people.


Reference:

Sumner A. Global Poverty and the New Bottom Billion: What if Three-Quarters of the World's Poor Live in Middle-Income Countries? Institute of Developmental Studies. September 2010;1-43.

The New Bottom Billion




And many of those PEOPLE are women and children



Additionally, the majority of the bottom billion are women and children.

Reference:

Olinto, P., Beegle, K., Sobrado, C. and Uematsu, H. (2013) *The State of the Poor: Where Are The Poor, Where Is Extreme Poverty Harder to End, and What Is the Current Profile of the World's Poor?* Economic Premise No. 125. Washington, DC: Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Unit, World Bank.



The particular vulnerabilities of women and children globally have been a focus of the development agenda for decades, and they are included in the Millennium Development Goals and the Sustainable Development Goals. The Sustainable Development Goals originated in September, 2015, when world leaders convened at the UN headquarters in New York City for the UN Sustainable Development Summit. This year marked the conclusion of the MDG era (2000-2015). Here, they adopted the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. This resolution agenda stated that all countries and stakeholders will work together in collaboration toward continued progress for the eradication of poverty, with a focus on, you guessed it, *people*, as well as planet, and prosperity. A new set of global goals emerged, designed to carry forward the global development agenda as it moved from the MDG era into the sustainable era (2015-2030). These are known as the Sustainable Development Goals.

The global goals are discussed in more detail in Module 2. The goals, and their rationale, underscore the ways that gender influences health, including oral health:

- Access to nutrition, education and family services
- Access to resources for health promotion and prevention, diagnosis and treatment
- Health-related behaviors and decision-making capacity
- Responses of the health system
- Ability to take paid time off for specific health-related needs individually or for children
- Experience and implications of ill health

- Severity and consequences of illnesses
- Risks of mortality and morbidity
- Education level, and ability to get an education
- In fact, one of the strongest determinants of a child's health is their mother's education level

References:

UN New Centre. Sustainable Development Goals kick off with start of the new year. News, Secretary-General, Sustainable Development Agenda. Published December 30, 2015. Accessed January 23, 2018 at: <http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/blog/2015/12/sustainable-development-goals-kick-off-with-start-of-new-year/>.

United Nations General Assembly. Political declaration of the high-level meeting of the General Assembly on the adoption of the outcome document of the United Nations summit of the post-2015 development agenda: Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Resolution A/RES/70/1. New York: United Nations, September 25, 2015. Accessed on January 22, 2018 at: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E

Langer A, Frenk J, Horton R. Lancet. Women and Health Initiative: integrating needs and response. 2012 Aug 18;380(9842):631-2.

Quick J, Jay J, Langer A. Improving women's health through universal health coverage. PLoS Med. 2014 Jan;11(1):e1001580.

Graphic sources:

Green, D. Have the MDGs affected developing country policies and spending? Findings of new 50 country study. World Bank- Public Sphere blog. August 20, 2015. Accessed on January 22, 2018 at: <https://blogs.worldbank.org/publicsphere/have-mdgs-affected-developing-country-policies-and-spending-findings-new-50-country-study>.

UN New Centre. Sustainable Development Goals kick off with start of the new year. News, Secretary-General, Sustainable Development Agenda. Published December 30, 2015.

Accessed January 23, 2018 at:

<http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/blog/2015/12/sustainable-development-goals-kick-off-with-start-of-new-year/>



Example: Climate Change

- Women farmers account for up to 80% of all food production in developing countries
- 90% of the female labor force in many African countries are engaged in agricultural work
- Natural disasters, climate change, and other environmental insults negatively impact women in greater numbers
 - Food insecurity
 - Land rights
 - Migration
 - Access to resources (water)
 - Loss of income (drought and harvest)

Let's look a bit more deeply at an example where a risk factor for poor health impacts women disproportionately: the negative effects of climate change. Take a moment to read these startling facts.


Women account for a large percentage of the agricultural workforce in particular regions of the world. Changing weather patterns and more severe natural disasters due to climate change harm the agricultural industry, which in turn negatively affects women.

Reference:

Women, Gender Equality and Climate Change. UN Women's Watch Fact Sheet. 2009. Accessed on February 10, 2018 at: http://www.un.org/womenwatch/feature/climate_change/

downloads/Women_and_Climate_Change_Factsheet.pdf

Example: Climate Change



Here is an example from our work. Women and their families in Alaska's remote Arctic and sub-Arctic tribal communities are experiencing emerging water related challenges due to climate change, as the permafrost thaws and impacts water sources and infrastructure in the arctic and subarctic regions. The Water Innovations for Healthy Arctic Homes (WIHAH) conference held in September 2016 brought together Alaskan, U.S., and international engineers, health experts, climate change researchers, rural community members, and policymakers to discuss health benefits, challenges and innovations associated with making running water and sanitation in remote tribes and communities safe, affordable and sustainable. Due to the global burden of both communicable and non-communicable diseases and their common risk factors, the conference had a special focus on interdisciplinary strategies for disease prevention and health promotion, including improving access to water and sanitation and reducing sugar consumption. Alaskan rural communities are battling waterborne illness, such as gastrointestinal sicknesses, and water washed diseases. Water washed diseases occur when the quality and quantity of water is not adequate to maintain proper hygiene, resulting in acute infections such as bacterial skin infections, pneumonia, respiratory syncytial virus, and severe dental caries. In fact, researchers from the region report that approximately 40% of rural Alaskan children by the age of five have been hospitalized for full mouth rehabilitation under sedation due to dental infections, representing some of the worst oral health outcomes in the United States. Water insecurity is influencing dependence on cheap sugar substrates and sugar-sweetened beverages in place of safe and palatable water. Although

women can be disproportionately affected by climate change in some parts of the world, all members of the rural arctic communities in Alaska are impacted.

Reference:

Thomas T. Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium Clinical and Research Services Report. August 12, 2016.



Example: Child marriage

- Child marriage is a worldwide problem
- From 2000-2010, 38 U.S. states allowed children under the age of 18 to marry; the minors were primarily girls, some as young as 12
- Of those who were girls, most male partners were older than 18; some had age gaps of 13 years or more
- In total, its estimated that nearly 250,000 children were married in that time period in the United States

Let's take a deeper look at an example affecting children. Child marriage is a global problem. Poverty is a leading risk factor for child marriage, and child marriage perpetuates the cycle of poverty, particularly for girls. Other risk factors include low education rates, limited employment opportunities, reproductive burden, social isolation and depression, and family violence. Poverty relates to all of these additional factors as well. Even in a high income country such as the United States, child marriage remains a problem. Take a moment to read these shocking statistics about child marriage in the US.

References:

Anjali Tsui, Dan Nolan and Chris Amico. Child marriage in America by the numbers. PBS Frontline. July 6, 2017. Accessed on February 10, 2018 at: http://apps.frontline.org/child-marriage-by-the-numbers/?utm_source=facebook&utm_medium=pbsofficial&utm_campaign=frontline_2017#

Renate van der Zee. 'It put an end to my childhood': the hidden scandal of US child marriage. The Guardian. Tue 6 Feb 2018. Accessed on February 10, 2018 at: <https://www.theguardian.com/inequality/2018/feb/06/it-put-an-end-to-my-childhood-the-hidden-scandal-of-us-child-marriage>



Let's watch a video about the relationship between girls' health and poverty...

The Girl Effect is a creative non-profit comprised of experts in media and international development who are working where girls are marginalized and vulnerable. Their work enables girls and empowers them to change their lives. I encourage you to learn more about the Girl Effect project on your own following this module.

References:

The Girl Effect: <https://www.girleffect.org>

Video:

Published September 13, 2010 by The Girl Effect

Video Description: The girl effect is about leveraging the unique potential of adolescent girls to end poverty for themselves, their families, their communities, their countries and the world

Graphic is a screen grab of the above video.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1e8xgF0JtVg>



Other vulnerable populations:

- Geriatric and aging, over 65



- Special needs



- LGBTQ



- Others...

More research, more data is needed

In addition to women and children, there are other vulnerable groups that experience disparities and their negative effects disproportionately. We need to continue to collect data worldwide to identify their challenges and develop targeted solutions.




When you were a child...

- What did your family believe about taking care of you when you were sick?
 - Foods that you ate when sick?
 - Causes of fever? Treatment for the flu?
 - How did you know when you were sick enough to stay home from school?
 - How did your family feel about taking you to the doctor?

The social determinants of health, such as gender and income, are important elements for disease risk. They can be equally important when considering successful treatments as well. The way a person perceives health and illness can have a significant impact on treatment processes and outcomes.

Think about your answers to these questions...do you think there are differences between your answers and your classmates' answers for example, or mine? Similarities? Why?

For me, my mom always gave me chicken soup when I had a cold. I actually still crave chicken soup whenever I'm not feeling well. Is chicken soup evidence-based medicine, grounded in science? Is that why my mom gave it me? Likely not, it's based on my family tradition, our social and cultural practices. It's the way I grew up. For many of us, this is the case for most of our answers to these questions. What about Joe? Do you think Joe's answers to these questions are based on similar reasons? Probably...




But historically, dentistry has focused on the biomedical approach for understanding etiology of disease and corresponding treatment. This disease model for health relies on the scientific process and evidence-based practices. Recovery from illness occurs due to a physiological understanding of how the body functions, what happens when a viral or bacterial invasion occurs, and how to treat it. The biomedical model isolates cause and effect and treats accordingly, or prevents it from happening in the first place.

References:

Watt RG. Social determinants of oral health inequalities: implications for action. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2012; 40 (Suppl. 2): 44–48.

Kleinman A. (1979) *Sickness as Cultural Semantics: Issues for an Anthropological Medicine and Psychiatry*. In: Ahmed P.I., Coelho G.V. (eds) *Toward a New Definition of Health*. Current Topics in Mental Health. Springer, Boston, MA.

Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, Good B. Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Ann Intern Med*. 1978 Feb;88(2):251-8.



Take a look at the advantage and disadvantages here.

The advantages of the biomedical model have allowed for major improvements in health worldwide through interventions such as vaccinations, water and sanitation improvements, and antiretroviral therapy for HIV/AIDS. However, this model makes it difficult to apply complex factors like human behavior, cultural beliefs, or the social determinants of health. For example, in the 1970's, Botswana was experiencing high rates of tuberculosis. The World Health Organization sent a regional health team to Botswana to help manage the disease. The regional health team held training workshops to teach traditional healers about tuberculosis, both causes and the available treatments and medications they brought to the country. The WHO trainers used the biomedical model for managing tuberculosis. The workshops were initially considered a failure, with the traditional healers refusing to refer people from the community to the regional health team clinics for care. The traditional healers felt the trainers were ignorant to illness in their communities and that the clinics clearly did not understand the actual causes and remedies for the disease. The traditional healers explained that the disease affects their communities differently than a European community for example, so only they are able to adequately address the illness; the treatments sent by the WHO would be ineffective.


References:

Haram, L. (1991). Tswana Medicine in Interaction with Biomedicine. *Social Science and Medicine*, 33(2), 167-175.

Watt RG. Social determinants of oral health inequalities: implications for action. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2012; 40 (Suppl. 2): 44–48.

Kleinman A. (1979) *Sickness as Cultural Semantics: Issues for an Anthropological Medicine and Psychiatry*. In: Ahmed P.I., Coelho G.V. (eds) *Toward a New Definition of Health*. Current Topics in Mental Health. Springer, Boston, MA.

Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, Good B. Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Ann Intern Med*. 1978 Feb;88(2):251-8.




The sociocultural model examines the personal, social, community, family, and cultural influences on the experience of illness and care beyond physiology. This model considers how people define health and pursue care based on their beliefs, customs, cultural and religious practices, social networks, and evening policies and governing practices. These factors impact a person's perception and experience of illness, as well as their ability to change their own behaviors (While we won't go into it here, I encourage you to research the concept of 'constrained choice,' where a person may know and be motivated to make a particular health decision but is unable to due to circumstances beyond their control- these are often related to the social determinants of health). The traditional healers practicing Tswana medicine created individualized approaches to treating any given patient suffering from 'The Big Cough' (their term for tuberculosis). This is in contrast to the regional health team's clinics, which offered the same treatment medication to everyone.

References:

Kleinman A. (1979) Sickness as Cultural Semantics: Issues for an Anthropological Medicine and Psychiatry. In: Ahmed P.I., Coelho G.V. (eds) Toward a New Definition of Health. Current Topics in Mental Health. Springer, Boston, MA.

Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, Good B. Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Ann Intern Med.* 1978 Feb;88(2):251-8.



Once the regional health teams began to understand the cultural beliefs and practices in Bostwana, progress became possible. They adjusted their biomedical approach and included aspects of the sociocultural model as well. Over time, the traditional healers began referring patients to the clinics, recognizing when successful outcomes are more likely through collaborative medicine, including the biomedical-based medication available at the clinics. Take a look at the advantages and disadvantages of this model.


References:

Haram, L. (1991). Tswana Medicine in Interaction with Biomedicine. *Social Science and Medicine*, 33(2), 167-175.

Watt RG. Social determinants of oral health inequalities: implications for action. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2012; 40 (Suppl. 2): 44–48.

Kleinman A. (1979) *Sickness as Cultural Semantics: Issues for an Anthropological Medicine and Psychiatry*. In: Ahmed P.I., Coelho G.V. (eds) *Toward a New Definition of Health*. Current Topics in Mental Health. Springer, Boston, MA.


Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, Good B. Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Ann Intern Med*. 1978 Feb;88(2):251-8.



No single model is effective in isolation, particularly in a globalizing world with countries becoming melting pots of so many different cultures, languages, and health practices today. Optimizing the strengths of both a biomedical and sociocultural model can lead to improved outcomes and better patient-provider relationships.

Reference:


Kleinman A. (1979) *Sickness as Cultural Semantics: Issues for an Anthropological Medicine and Psychiatry*. In: Ahmed P.I., Coelho G.V. (eds) *Toward a New Definition of Health*. Current Topics in Mental Health. Springer, Boston, MA.



In summary, the social determinants of disease, and considering a sociocultural model of care, expand the way we think about and define a 'susceptible tooth,' and the most appropriate approach for treatment. Factors like gender, socioeconomic status and poverty, culture, government and policy, physical and built environment, and many other examples can make a person (and their teeth) more susceptible to cavities, and a multitude of other health problems. All of these complex factors have direct implications for oral disease risk, as well as finding the best methods for care and how we might define the ideal and alternative treatment options, as well as disease prevention, for our patients and communities.

Further reading:

Fisher-Owens SA1, Gansky SA, Platt LJ, Weintraub JA, Soobader MJ, Bramlett MD, Newacheck PW. Influences on children's oral health: a conceptual model. *Pediatrics*. 2007 Sep;120(3):e510-20.



Think back to Module 2 and all the factors that must be in place for Dr. Tooth to practice in a well-functioning health system. Add to that all the potential delays and obstacles to care that Joe faces. The patient-provider relationship and experiences of sickness and care, by both patient and provider, cannot be taken for granted. When a patient and provider come together and successfully manage illness as a team, or even better, prevent illness from occurring, health becomes possible for all! As dental providers, we must embrace our role in the health of our patients, and our communities, beyond our dental chairs. Many people will never make it that far.

Moving forward after today, I challenge you to think about how to answer this question, "How will you reach those who can't reach you?"



To continue learning, please check out our other modules:

Module 1: Global Trends

Module 2: Global Goals

Module 3: Back to Basics-Primary Care

Module 5: Ethics and Sustainability

Global Health Starter Kit for Dental Education



Funding for the project "Toward Competency-Based Best Practices for Global Health in Dental Education: A Global Health Starter Kit" was provided by a Consortium of Universities for Global Health Dr. Thomas Hall Global Health Education Grant. We wish to acknowledge the CUGH Global Oral Health Interest Group for their support of this project and the competency work on which it was based.

We also wish to acknowledge the Harvard School of Dental Medicine Department of Oral Health Policy and Epidemiology and Office of Global and Community Health for their support of this project and the course material on which it was based, and Dr. Erinne Kennedy for her contributions to the work in Alaska.